

DOMINICA C. ANDERSON (SBN 2988)
RYAN A. LOOSVELT (SBN 8550)
DUANE MORRIS LLP
100 N. City Parkway, Suite 1560
Las Vegas, NV 89106
Telephone: 702.868.2600
Facsimile: 702.385.6862
Email: dcanderson@duanemorris.com
rloosvelt@duanemorris.com

Attorneys for CedarCrestone, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation,
ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware
corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL
CORPORATION, a California corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;
and SETH RAVIN, an individual,

Defendants.

AND ALL RELATED COUNTER CLAIMS.

Case No. 2:10-CV-0106-LRH-PAL

**NON-PARTY CEDARCRESTONE,
INC.'S MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS
OF ITS OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION TO MODIFY PROTECTIVE
ORDER AND PORTIONS OF THE
DECLARATION OF BRIAN E. FEES IN
SUPPORT THEREOF**

Pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order governing confidentiality of documents entered by the Court on May 21, 2010 [Dckt. No. 55] ("Protective Order"), Rule 5.2 and Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and Local Rule 10-5, Non-party CedarCrestone Inc. ("CedarCrestone") respectfully requests that the Court order the Clerk to file under seal certain portions of CedarCrestone's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Modify Protective Order ("Opposition") and corresponding portions of the Declaration of Brian E. Fees in support thereof ("Declaration"). Unredacted versions of the Opposition and Declaration were lodged under seal on June 15, 2012 [Dckt. No. 332, 333]. Redacted versions of the Opposition and Declaration were also publicly filed on the Court's ECF website on June 15, 2012 [Dckt. No. 329, 330].

///

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The presumption of public access to court filings may be overcome by a showing of good cause under Rule 26(c). *See Pintos v. Pacific Creditors Ass'n*, 605 F.3d 665, 678 (9th Cir. 2010). The Court has broad latitude to prevent disclosure of materials for many types of information, including, but not limited to, trade secrets or other confidential research, development, or commercial information.” *Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2002).

Specifically, CedarCrestone requests that certain portions of its Opposition and the Declaration be sealed because it contains highly sensitive, commercial information that may be harmful to the business interests of CedarCrestone, a non-party to this lawsuit, and could and would be used by its competitors to the detriment of CedarCrestone’s business. *See, e.g., Golden Boy Promotions, Inc. v. Top Rank, Inc.*, 2011 WL 686362, *2 (D.Nev. Feb. 17, 2011) (sealing records even though parties “failed to mention specific harms that could occur” because information was not intended to be public but if disclosed could cause business harm); *Stone v. Advance Amer., Cash Advance Centers, Inc.*, 2011 WL 662972, *3 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 11, 2011) (sealing documents because they “might become a vehicle for improper purposes in the hands of business competitors”).

The portions of the Opposition and Declaration to be sealed also constitute confidential commercial information that, if produced, would be designated as “Highly Confidential-Attorney’s Eyes Only” or “Confidential Information” pursuant to the Protective Order entered in this action. See Protective Order, ¶¶3-4. The Protective Order provides that: “Counsel for any Designating Party may designate any Discovery Material as ‘Confidential Information’ or ‘Highly Confidential Information-Attorneys’ Eyes Only’ under the terms of this Protective Order only if such counsel in good faith believes that such information and is subject to protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The designation by any Designating Party of any Discovery Material as ‘Confidential Information’ or ‘Highly Confidential Information-Attorneys’ Eyes Only’ shall constitute a representation that an attorney for the Designating Party reasonably believes there is a valid basis for such designation.” Protective Order, ¶2. The designating parties have represented that good cause exists for sealing when identifying information under the Protective Order as “Confidential” or “Highly Confidential-Attorneys Eyes Only.” This is a sufficient showing of good

1 cause to permit a sealing order on a non-dispositive motion. *See, e.g., Pacific Gas and Elec. Co. v.*
2 *Lynch*, 216 F.Supp.2d 1016, 1027 (N.D. Cal. 2002).

3 CedarCrestone's request for a sealing order has been narrowly tailored. CedarCrestone has
4 prepared and filed redacted versions for the Court's public files that allows public access to the
5 filings except those portions sealed, and seeks to protect only those portions of the Opposition and
6 Declaration that, if produced, would be designated as either "Highly Confidential-Attorney's Eyes
7 Only" or "Confidential Information" under the Protective Order entered in this action, and that could
8 be used by CedarCrestone's competitors to cause further harm to CedarCrestone.

9 For the foregoing reasons, CedarCrestone respectfully requests that the Court grant its
10 Motion to Seal for good cause shown.

11 DATED: June 15, 2012

DUANE MORRIS LLP

12
13
14 By: /s/ Dominica C. Anderson
Dominica C. Anderson (SBN 2988)
Ryan A. Loosvelt (SBN 8550)

15
16 Attorneys for CedarCrestone, Inc.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 15, 2012, I served via CM/ECF a true and correct copy of the foregoing **NON-PARTY CEDARCRESTONE, INC.'S MOTION TO SEAL** to all parties and counsel as identified on the CM/ECF-generated Notice of Electronic Filing.

/s/ Jana Dailey

Jana Dailey

An employee of DUANE MORRIS LLP

DOMINICA C. ANDERSON (SBN 2988)
RYAN A. LOOSVELT (SBN 8550)

DUANE MORRIS LLP

100 N. City Parkway, Suite 1560

Las Vegas, NV 89106

Telephone: 702.868.2600

Facsimile: 702.385.6862

Email: dcanderson@duanemorris.com

rloosvelt@duanemorris.com

Attorneys for CedarCrestone, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation,
ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware
corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL
CORPORATION, a California corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;
and SETH RAVIN, an individual,

Defendants.

AND ALL RELATED COUNTER CLAIMS.

Case No. 2:10-CV-0106-LRH-PAL

[PROPOSED] ORDER

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pending before this Court is Non-party CedarCrestone, Inc.'s Motion to Seal Portions of its Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Modify Protective Order ("Opposition") [Redacted Opposition-Dckt. No. 329; Unredacted Opposition filed under seal-Dckt. No. 332] and Portions of the Declaration of Brian E. Fees in support thereof ("Declaration") [Redacted Declaration-Dckt. No. 330; Unredacted Declaration filed under seal-Dckt. No. 333]. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides broad discretion for a trial court to permit sealing of court documents for, inter alia, the protection of "trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). Having considered Non-party CedarCrestone, Inc.'s Motion to Seal, compelling reasons having been shown and good cause existing:

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: Non-party CedarCrestone, Inc.'s Motion to Seal is
2 GRANTED. The Clerk of the Court shall file under seal the unredacted versions of Non-party
3 CedarCrestone, Inc.'s Motion to Seal Portions of its Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Modify
4 Protective Order and Portions of the Declaration of Brian E. Fees in support thereof.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Dated: June __, 2012

By: _____

Hon. Peggy A. Leen
United States Magistrate Judge